NAVIGATION

GO Customize Threshold Requirements

GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Rating Tool

GO Customize New Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

CoC Threshold Requirements

Coordinated Entry Participation

(Uncheck any requirements you

✓ Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation

✓ Documented, secured minimum match

Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally

✓ Project is financially feasible

☑ Applicant is active CoC participant

✓ Application is complete and data are consistent

✓ Data quality at or above 90%

Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%

(The first five requirements are process either as Threshold Req

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Uncheck any rating factor you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.

Performance Measures

Factor/Goal Max Point Value

Length of Stay

RRH - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

☐ TH - On average, participants stay in project XX days				
Exits to Permanent Housing				
✓ RRH - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	95	%	25	points
✓ PSH - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	95	%	25	points
TH - Minimum percent move to permanent housing				
Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)				
Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing				
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Minimum new or increased earned income for project stayers				
☐ Minimum new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
☐ Minimum new or increased earned income for project leavers				
☐ Minimum new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
Serve High Need Populations				
APR data on≥ 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered				
RRH - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50	%	10	points
RRH - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability type	47	<u>~</u> %	10	points
RRH - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	20	 %	10	points
PSH - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50	 %	10	 points
PSH - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability type	47	 %	10	 points
PSH - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	20	 %	10	 points
☐ TH - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry				
TH - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability type				
TH - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
Project Effectiveness				
✓ Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit as defined locally	5	%	20	points
Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)				

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures						
Other and Local Criteria						
✓ CoC Monitoring Score • Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standard				100	points	
☑ Project focuses on Chronically Homeless				30	points	
✓ Serves non-Richland counties					points	
	Total Maximum Scor	e PSH pi	ojects:	225	points	
		TH pi	ojects:	170	points	
		RRH pi	ojects:	225	points	
CUSTOMIZE NEW F	PROJECT RATING	TOOL				
Experience		Factor/Goal	Max F	Point '	Value	
✓ A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed pop			_	15	points	
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardabuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a proce jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the mo	ardless of current or past substance r ordinance), marital status, familial ess to address situations that may		_	10	_ points	
C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.				5	points	

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale,	15	points
and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.		_
☑ B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to	5	points
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5	points
Timeliness		
A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	points
Financial		
A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5	points
B. Organization's most recent audit:		
√ 1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	3	points
✓2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	3	points
√3. Indicates no findings	4	points
☑ C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5	points
✓ D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20	points
Project Effectiveness		
Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals		
Other and Local Criteria		
☑ Project focuses on Chronically Homeless	30	points
☑ Project Serves non-Richland Counties	20	points

Total Maximum Score

All projects:

155

points